



<b>Title of paper:</b>	CYPP Performance Review (2012/13)	
<b>Report to:</b>	Children's Partnership Board	
<b>Date:</b>	27 <sup>th</sup> March 2013	
<b>Relevant Director:</b>	Candida Brudenell	<b>Wards affected:</b> All
<b>Contact Officer(s) and contact details:</b>	Colin Monckton (Head of Service – Commissioning & Insight) t. 0115 (87) 64832	
<b>Other officers who have provided input:</b>	Andy Shone (Performance Review Officer – Commissioning & Insight) t. 0115 (87) 64843	
<b>Relevant Children and Young People's Plan (CYPP) objectives(s):</b>		
<b>Stronger safeguarding</b> – With a key focus on ensuring that there are high standards of safeguarding across all agencies and that the Partnership takes a pro-active approach to the elimination of domestic violence.		Yes
<b>Healthy living</b> – With a key focus on increasing the proportion of children and young people who have a healthy weight.		Yes
<b>Reducing substance misuse</b> – Partnership work to lessen the impact on children of parental drug and alcohol misuse and to reduce drug and alcohol misuse amongst children and young people.		Yes
<b>Raising attainment</b> – Raising the attainment levels and increasing engagement in employment, education and training.		Yes
<b>Improving attendance</b> – Improving rates of attendance at both Primary and Secondary as a key foundation of improving outcomes.		Yes
<b>Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):</b>		
The review identifies key headlines, challenges and an overview of all performance measures linked to the CYPP strategic and operational objectives up to and including 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2012 (Q3).		
<b>Recommendations:</b>		
<b>1</b>	Children's Partnership Board to note performance	
<b>2</b>		
<b>3</b>		

## **1. BACKGROUND AND PROPOSALS**

The review provides an update of the CYPP performance framework.

## **2. RISKS**

None

## **3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

None

## **4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

None

## **5. CLIENT GROUP**

All relevant CYP within the City

## **6. IMPACT ON EQUALITIES ISSUES**

N/A

## **7. OUTCOMES AND PRIORITIES AFFECTED**

All

## **8. CONTACT DETAILS**

Andy Shone  
Performance Review Officer  
Quality & Commissioning  
Commissioning & Insight  
Loxley House

[Andy.shone@nottinghamcity.gov.uk](mailto:Andy.shone@nottinghamcity.gov.uk)

0115 87 64843



Nottingham  
City Council

**Report Reference: CPB0312**

# Children's Partnership Board Q3 2012/13 Performance Report

**Report Produced for: CPB**

**Period Reported to: 31st December 2012**

**Report Produced by: Commissioning & Insight**

**Author: Andy Shone (ext. 64843)**



Safer, cleaner, ambitious  
**Nottingham**  
A city we're all proud of

## Guide to the report

The report is ordered by each CYPP Strategic Objective (1 to 5) then each Operational Objective (1 to 15). We continue to identify new and meaningful indicators to report

Within each Objective, performance indicators are ordered by reference number and identifies who the responsible officer/agency is.

Where applicable, the report shows data against performance indicators starting with the 2011/12 outturn figure through to the current 2012/13 Q3 Year To Date figure.

Data shown details the last quarter of the current year and the previous two year's outturn figures.

Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
<b>1. Strategic Objective - Safeguarding and Early Intervention</b>											
<i>Young people and families will benefit from early and effective support and protection to empower them to overcome difficulties</i>											
<b>1. Operational Objective - Stronger Safeguarding</b>											
<i>Improving safeguarding across all agencies, to keep children and young people safe from physical, emotional and sexual abuse, neglect, and accidental injury</i>											
NI 032 Repeat incidents of domestic violence (MARAC) <b>(Crime &amp; Drugs Partnership)</b>	Lower percentages	17.0%	18.3%	20.8%	15.2%	13.3%	-	-	-	Yes	-
CSS161 Initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 10 working days of referral <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Higher percentages	77.2%	95.9%	91.6%	91.8%	91.6%	80.0%	78.4%	-	No	Yes
NI 060 % of Core Assessments in Timescale <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Higher percentages	79.8%	96.0%	96.5%	96.0%	94.8%	80.0%	74.9%	-	No	Yes
NI 064 Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more <b>(Dorne Collinson)</b>	Lower percentages	11.7%	7.7%	5.2%	5.2%	5.4%	6.0%	6.3%	-	Yes	Yes
NI 065 Children becoming subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time <b>(Dorne Collinson)</b>	Lower percentages	16.6%	18.3%	21.6%	21.6%	23.0%	15.0%	12.8%	-	No	-
NI 067 Child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales <b>(Dorne Collinson)</b>	Higher percentages	97.8%	100%	100%	100%	99.7%	100%	94.8%	-	Same	Same
NI 068 Referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Ideally the percentage should not be too low or too high. It should fall within the median percentage band of all authorities	78.1%	65.6%	72.7%	74.7%	78.0%	80.0%	67.0%	-	Yes	No
CSS47 Number of Section 47 Enquiries (per 10,000 per annum) <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Lower numbers	847 (152)	806 (129)	241 (154)	504 (180)	747 (159)	(140)	1053 (128)	-	-	-
CSS102 Number of children subject to a Child Protection Plan (per 10,000) <b>(Dorne Collinson)</b>	Lower numbers YTD (Per 10,000)	431 (77)	296 (47)	334 (60)	381 (68)	448 (72)	380 (61)	414 (50)	-	-	-
CSS01 Number of Referrals (per 10,000) <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Lower numbers YTD (Per 10,000)	6294 (1126)	5318 (851)	1229 (787)	2197 (786)	3277 (699)	(900)	6347 (774)	-	-	-
CSS02a Number of re-referrals <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Lower numbers YTD	1624	1483	408	717	1088	-	1983	-	-	-
CSS02b Rate of re-referrals <b>(Colin Pennington)</b>	Lower percentages	25.8%	27.9%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	25.0%	31.2%	-	No	No
All current Child Protection Activities - (No. of offenders where a child who is living with/has contact with the offender is subject to a Child Protection Plan) <b>(Probation)</b>	Higher numbers	154	136	153	145	TBC	-	-	-	No	-
All current Risk to Children Activities - (No. of offenders who present a current risk to children.) <b>(Probation)</b>	Higher numbers	471	482	465	443	TBC	-	-	-	No	-
Number of Families with Children in Temporary Accommodation <b>(Housing)</b>	Lower numbers	60	53	57	71	54	-	-	-	No	-
Number of Children in Temporary Accommodation <b>(Housing)</b>	Lower numbers	105	107	92	113	80	-	-	-	Yes	-
<b>2. Operational Objective - Shifting resources to early intervention and prevention</b>											
<i>Achieving a growing shift of mainstream resources towards early intervention and prevention to ensure that families in challenging circumstances are identified early</i>											
Number of CAFs initiated (per 10,000) <b>(Viv McCrossen)</b>	Higher numbers (per 10,000 0 -17 year olds)	511 (91)	1071 (192)	192 (34)	373 (67)	586 (105)	Year on year increases -	-	-	No	No
<b>3. Operational Objective - Reducing infant mortality</b>											
NI 053 a Percentage of infants being breastfed at 6-8 weeks (breastfeeding prevalence) <b>(Health - Deborah Hooton)</b>	Higher percentages	45.9%	46.2%	46.3%	46.0%	46.0%	-	35.1% (2004/05)	44.5% (2004/05)	Same	-
NI 053 b Percentage of infants for whom breastfeeding status is recorded (breastfeeding coverage) <b>(Health - Deborah Hooton)</b>	Higher percentages	99.8%	99.4%	99.3%	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	-	Same	-
NI 126 Early access for women to maternity services <b>(Health - Deborah Hooton)</b>	Higher percentages	87.5%	88.5%	87.6%	89.4%	89.4%	-	-	-	Yes	-
Infant mortality per 1000 live births <b>(Health - Caroline Hird)</b>	Lower numbers (3 year average)	6.2 (07-09)	5.6 (08-10)	6.2 (09-11)	6.2 (09-11)	6.2 (09-11)	-	4.7 (08-10) East Midlands	4.6 (08-10)	No	-
Low birth weight (< 2500 grams) <b>(Health - Caroline Hird)</b>	Lower percentages	8.5% (2009)	9.1% (2010)	9.0% (2011)	9.0% (2011)	9.0% (2011)	-	7.7% (2009) East Midlands	7.5% (2009)	Same	-
Percentage of children immunised against Diphtheris, Tetanus, Pertussis, Polio & Hib by 1st birthday <b>(Health - Carrie Jordan)</b>	Higher percentages	91.1%	92.4%	93.7%	94.6%	-	-	-	94.7% (2011/12)	Yes	-

Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
Percentage of children vaccinated against MMR by their 2nd birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan)	Higher percentages	84.0%	88.8%	90.9%	88.6%	-	-	-	91.2% (2011/12)	Same	-
Percentage of children vaccinated against MMR by their 5th birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan)	Higher percentages	87.8%	82.5%	91.5%	91.0%	-	-	-	92.9% (2011/12)	Yes	-
Percentage of children who have had their MMR booster by their 5th birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan)	Higher percentages	81.3%	82.5%	85.6%	83.2%	-	-	-	86.0% (2011/12)	Yes	-

## 2. Strategic Objective - Strong families

*Young people and families will benefit from early and effective support and protection to empower them to overcome difficulties*

### 4. Operational Objective - Improving parenting support

*Improving support for parents and carers, particularly younger ones*

### 5. Operational Objective - Supporting children with learning difficulties and disabilities

*Providing better co-ordinated care for children and young people with learning difficulties and disabilities, emotional and behavioural difficulties*

NI 103 a Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks: Percentage of final statements of special education need issued within 26 weeks <u>excluding exception cases</u> as a proportion of all such statements issued in the year (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94.0%	92.0% (2008/09)	91.0% (2008/09)	Same	Yes
NI 103 b Special Educational Needs – statements issued within 26 weeks: Percentage of final statements of special education need issued within 26 weeks as a proportion of all such statements issued in the year (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	77.0%	78.0% (2008/09)	83.0% (2008/09)	Same	Yes
CS141 a The percentage of Key Stage 4 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (Yr 10 =24 Hrs+, Yr 11 = 25 Hrs+) (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	99.0%	98.0%	86.0%	97.0%	93.0%	100%	-	-	No	No
CS141 b The percentage of Key Stage 3 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (KS3 = 24 Hrs+) (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	Same	Yes
CS141 c The percentage of Key Stage 2 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (KS2 =23.5 Hrs+) (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	Same	Yes
Number of Direct Payments (Disabled Children) (Viv McCrossen)	Higher numbers (cumulative totals)	94	144	135	149	155	171 (annual target)	-	-	Yes	Yes
Initial Assessments to Disabled Children's teams (Viv McCrossen)	Higher percentages Figures shown reflect performance in closest given month to the quarter end	78.5%	94.1%	75.9%	81.9%	81.9%	80.0%	-	-	No	Yes
Core Assessments to Disabled Children's teams (Viv McCrossen)	Higher percentages As above	72.6%	96.1%	76.9%	80.0%	77.2%	80.0%	-	-	No	No
Number of Referrals to MALT CAMHS (Viv McCrossen)	Higher numbers (cumulative totals)	1254	1372	337	649	1036	To better the previous year's outturn	-	-	Yes	Yes

### 6. Operational Objective - Improving corporate parenting

*Improving the quality of life and outcomes for children living in care and preparing for independent living*

NI 058 Emotional and behavioural health of children in care (Viv McCrossen)	Lower numbers	16.5	15.2	-	-	-	-	14.3 (2008/09)	13.8 (2008/09)	Yes	-
NI 061 Timeliness of placements of LAC for adoption following an agency decision that the child should be placed for adoption (Paulette Thompson-Omenka)	Higher percentages	93.3%	62.1%	33.0%	71.4%	48.0%	68.5%	68.5%	-	No	No
NI 062 Stability of placements of LAC: number of moves (Rolling 12 months) (Joy Chambers)	Lower percentages	10.0%	11.5%	9.8%	10.1%	10.9%	12.0%	11.2%	-	Yes	Yes
NI 063 Stability of placements of LAC: length of placement (Joy Chambers)	Higher percentages	62.3%	73.1%	74.4%	71.6%	69.2%	67.0%	65.4%	-	No	Yes
NI 066 LAC cases which were reviewed within required timescales (Dome Collinson)	Higher percentages	85.0%	94.6%	98.3%	97.4%	95.3%	97.0%	86.0%	-	Yes	No
NI 099 Children in care reaching level 4 in English at KS2 (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	50.0%	36.0%	-	-	55.0%	61.5%	57.3% (2011/12 - LAIT)	60.0% (2011/12 - SFR)	Yes	No
NI 100 Children in care reaching level 4 in Maths at KS2 (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	40.0%	50.0%	-	-	45.0%	54.0%	55.3% (2011/12 - LAIT)	56.0% (2011/12 - SFR)	No	No
NI 101 Children in care achieving 5 A*-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at KS4 (including English and Maths) (Mirth Parker)	Higher percentages	6.4%	7.1%	-	-	0.0%	21.2%	18.4% (2011/12 - LAIT)	14.6% (2011/12 - SFR)	No	No

Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
NI 147 Care leavers in suitable accommodation (Paulette Thompson-Omenka)	Higher percentages	82.0%	80.4%	100%	95.2%	95.2%	95.0%	88.8%	-	Yes	Yes
NI 148 Care leavers in employment, education or training (Paulette Thompson-Omenka)	Higher percentages	54.1%	60.7%	77.8%	52.4%	45.2%	60.0%	58.0%	-	No	No
CSS101 Number of looked after children (per 10,000) (Paulette Thompson-Omenka)	Lower numbers	519	541	553	553	547	524	743	-	-	-
	(Per 10,000)	(93)	(87)	(99)	(99)	(88)	(84)	(91)	-	Same	No
CSS153 Percentage of Looked After Children with a completed Personal Education Plan (Paulette Thompson-Omenka)	Higher percentages	91.0%	98.0%	92.0%	94.0%	91.0%	98.0%	-	-	No	No
CSS158 Percentage of Children looked after for 3 months or more with an up to date health assessment (Colin Pennington)	Higher percentages	84.0%	77.6%	74.8%	78.7%	74.5%	80.0%	-	-	No	No
CSS159 Percentage of Children Looked After for 3 months or more with an up to date dental check (Colin Pennington)	Higher percentages	87.0%	82.7%	80.3%	84.3%	78.7%	80.0%	-	-	No	No
Percentage of LAC NEET (Michelle Wright)	Lower percentages	-	25.3%	25.4%	22.7%	21.3%	-	-	-	Yes	-
Percentage of LAC Not Known (Michelle Wright)	Lower percentages	-	7.2%	9.9%	12.6%	3.9%	-	-	-	Yes	-
Percentage of Care Leavers NEET (Michelle Wright)	Lower percentages	-	27.8%	32.3%	43.0%	35.8%	-	-	-	No	-
Percentage of Care Leavers Not Known (Michelle Wright)	Lower percentages	-	10.6%	19.1%	16.2%	9.0%	-	-	-	Yes	-

### 3. Strategic Objective - Healthy and positive children and young people

*Children and young people will be healthier, fitter, more emotionally resilient and better able to make mature decisions*

#### 7. Operational Objective - Promoting healthy living

*Tackling childhood obesity, improving diets, improving oral health, cutting smoking rates*

NI 055 a Obesity among primary school age children in <u>Reception Year</u> (% of children with height and weight recorded <b>who are obese</b> ) (Health - Sarah Diggle)	Lower percentages	11.3%	10.9%	-	10.9%	-	12.0%	11.1% (2011/12)	9.5% (2011/12)	Same	Yes
NI 055 b Obesity among primary school age children in <u>Reception Year</u> (% of children with height and weight recorded) (Health - Sarah Diggle)	Higher percentages	92.0%	90.9%	-	90.0%	-	87.0%	-	94.2% (2011/12)	Same	Yes
NI 056 a Obesity among primary school age children in <u>Year 6</u> (% of children with height and weight recorded <b>who are obese</b> ) (Health - Sarah Diggle)	Lower percentages	21.9%	22.0%	-	22.9%	-	19.8%	20.5% (2011/12)	19.2% (2011/12)	No	No
NI 056 b Obesity among primary school age children in <u>Year 6</u> (% of children with height and weight recorded) (Health - Sarah Diggle)	Higher percentages	92.0%	92.7%	-	91.7%	-	87.0%	-	92.4% (2011/12)	No	Yes
CS23b Percentage of schools achieving the Healthy Schools Status (Chris Wallbanks)	Higher percentages	84.0%	84.0%	-	85.0%	84.0%	85.0%	-	-	Yes	No
CS23c Percentage of schools engaged in the Healthy Schools 'Health & Wellbeing Improvement' model (Chris Wallbanks)	Higher percentages	-	41.3%	-	48.0%	52.0%	-	-	-	Yes	-

#### 8. Operational Objective - Reducing teenage conceptions

*Reducing the rate of teenage conceptions*

NI 112 Under 18 conception rate (per 1,000) (Chris Wallbanks)	Lower numbers	61.6	54.3	57.9	54.4	50.4	55.2	47.8	32.0	Yes	Yes
NI 113 a Percentage of the resident population aged 15-24 accepting a test/screen for Chlamydia (Health - Deborah Hooton)	Higher percentages	36.2%	31.7%	8.0%	13.6%	35.0%	-	22.1% (East Midlands SHA)	20.5%	Yes	-
NI 113 b Prevalence of Chlamydia in under 25 year olds (Health - Deborah Hooton)	Lower percentages	5.5%	7.8%	8.5%	9.3%	-	-	7.0% (East Midlands SHA)	7.3%	Yes	-

#### 9. Operational Objective - Reducing substance misuse

*Reducing substance misuse and its impact on children and young people*

Drugs offences committed by under 18's (Police)	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	250	142	45	81	105	-	-	-	Yes	-
Number of new presentations to young people's specialist drug and alcohol treatment (Samantha Ireland)	Higher numbers (cumulative totals)	209	211	-	94	-	-	-	14311	No	-

Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
Percentage of new <u>alcohol</u> presentations to young people's specialist drug and alcohol treatment <b>(Samantha Ireland)</b>	Higher percentages	16.0%	17.2%	-	13.7%	-	-	-	28.6%	No	-
Percentage of referrals from Children & Family Services <b>(Samantha Ireland)</b>	Higher percentages	44.0%	43.0%	-	15.2%	-	-	-	36.0%	No	-
CSS162 Percentage of young people leaving treatment in an agreed and planned way <b>(Samantha Ireland)</b>	Higher percentages	66.0%	75.0%	-	86.4%	-	-	-	77.0%	Yes	-
<b>10. Operational Objective - Strengthening positive behaviour</b> <i>Diverting children and young people from anti-social and offending behaviour (including homophobic and other forms of bullying) and promoting socially responsible behaviour)</i>											
Former NI 019 Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Lower numbers (Comparable frequency of re-offending over 12 months in previous years)	1.09	1.00	1.04	1.08	1.08	-	1.20 (2010 PNC data)	1.02 (2010 PNC data)	No	-
Former NI 043 Proportion per 1,000 of the 10-17 population who are sentenced to custody <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Lower numbers	2.36	2.27	0.53	0.98	1.04	5.0	2.00 (Q3 2011-12)	0.74 (Q3 2011-12)	Yes	Yes
Former NI 045 Increase the number of young people supervised by YOT in full-time education, training or employment <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Higher percentages	70.3%	71.5%	72.6%	71.7%	69.5%	85.0%	67.9% (Q3 2011-12)	72.2% (Q3 2011-12)	No	No
Percentage of <u>School Age</u> young people supervised by the YOT in full-time ETE (relates to NI 045) <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Higher percentages	80.8%	86.0%	80.0%	79.6%	88.6%	-	72.3% (Q2 2011-12)	69.7% (Q2 2011-12)	Yes	-
Percentage of <u>Above School Age</u> young people supervised by the YOT in full-time ETE (relates to NI 045) <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Higher percentages	63.0%	59.5%	61.7%	65.2%	58.3%	-	61.1% (Q2 2011-12)	58.4% (Q2 2011-12)	No	-
NI 111 PROXY (YOT Data) Number of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10 – 17 (per 100,000 = PNC data) <b>(Ken Beaumont)</b>	Lower numbers (Running total quarter on quarter)	440 (1957)	394 (1753)	75 (334)	139 (618)	184 (760)	430 -	Apr-Sept 2012 (1160)	Apr-Sept 2012 (712)	Yes	Yes
Burglary offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	265	224	27	44	49	-	-	-	Yes	-
Criminal Damage offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	197	228	59	129	195	-	-	-	No	-
Fraud & Forgery offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	16	7	4	4	8	-	-	-	Yes	-
Other offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	60	38	4	13	22	-	-	-	Yes	-
Robbery offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	151	101	16	36	46	-	-	-	Yes	-
Sexual offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	10	24	0	3	8	-	-	-	Yes	-
Theft offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	617	689	109	233	329	-	-	-	Yes	-
Violence offences committed by under 18's <b>(Police)</b>	Lower numbers (12 month rolling total)	462	512	132	302	467	-	-	-	No	-
<b>4. Strategic Objective - Achievement</b> <i>All children and young people will leave school with the best skills and qualifications they can achieve and will be ready for work or further learning</i>											
<b>11. Operational Objective - Engaging learners better</b> <i>Improving school attendance and improving engagement and progression from age 16 in education, employment and training</i>											
NI 117 16-18 years old City resident young people who are NEET <b>(Michelle Wright)</b>	Lower percentages	-	5.2%	5.7%	6.7%	5.8%	-	-	-	No	-
	Lower numbers	-	387	384	576	465	-	-	-	-	-
Percentage/Number of NEET - Not Knowns <b>(Michelle Wright)</b>	Lower percentages	-	6.6%	6.4%	7.7%	5.1%	-	-	-	Yes	-
	Lower numbers	-	532	461	714	438	-	-	-	-	-
NI 087 State Funded Secondary School <b>Persistent Absence</b> rates (including Academies) - 20% Threshold <b>(Mark Andrews)</b>	Lower percentages	7.0%	6.7%	11.1% (Autumn 2011)	10.3% (Autumn & Spring 2012)	9.7% (Full Year)	TBC	-	-	Yes	-
CS 024 State Funded Secondary School <b>Overall Absence</b> rates (including Academies) <b>(Mark Andrews)</b>	Lower percentages	8.1%	8.0%	6.9% (Autumn 2011)	6.97% (Spring 2012)	6.9% (Full Year)	7.8%	-	-	Yes	Yes
CS 025 Primary school persistent absence rate - 20% Threshold <b>(Mark Andrews)</b>	Lower percentages	3.0%	4.5%	7.0% (Autumn 2011)	5.3% (Autumn & Spring 2012)	4.9% (Full Year)	4.75%	-	-	Yes	No
CS 026 Primary school overall absence rate <b>(Mark Andrews)</b>	Lower percentages	6.4%	6.4%	5.1% (Autumn 2011)	5.07% (Spring 2012)	5.02% (Full Year)	6.3%	-	-	Yes	Yes
<b>12. Operational Objective - Improving attainment</b> <i>Improving educational attainment and skills</i>											



Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
NI 072 Achievement of at least 78 points across the Early Years Foundation Stage with at least 6 in each of the scales in Personal Social and Emotional Development and Communication, Language and Literacy <b>(Kathryn Bouchlaghem)</b>	Higher percentages	54.1%	57.0%	-	58.0%	-	58.0%	-	64.0%	Yes	Yes
NI 073 Achievement at level 4 or above in both English and Maths at KS2 <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Higher percentages	67.0%	71.0%	-	75.0%	-	72.0%	-	80.0%	Yes	Yes
NI 075 Achievement of 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Higher percentages	44.2%	46.7%	-	49.6%	-	51.0%	-	58.6%	Yes	No
NI 089 Number of schools judged as requiring special measures <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Lower numbers	1	3	-	-	2	0	3 (2008/09)	1 (2008/09)	Yes	No
NI 092 Narrowing the gap between the lowest achieving 20% in the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile and the rest <b>(Kathryn Bouchlaghem)</b>	Lower percentages	33.7%	34.8%	-	32.7%	-	31.4%	-	30.1%	Yes	No
NI 093 Progression by 2 levels in English between KS1 and KS2 <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Higher percentages	80.0%	85.0%	-	88.0%	-	86.0%	-	89.0%	Yes	Yes
NI 094 Progression by 2 levels in Maths between KS1 and KS2 <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Higher percentages	81.0%	84.0%	-	86.0%	-	84.0%	-	87.0%	Yes	Yes
NI 114 Rate of permanent exclusions from school (per 100 pupils) <b>(Andrew Paulson)</b>	Lower percentages	0.02%	0.02%	0.04% (Summer term 2012)	-	0.04% (Autumn term 2012)	-	0.13% (2008/09)	0.09% (2008/09)	No	-
CS 015 % of eligible pupils in LA maintained schools who attain at least one qualification at GCSE or equivalent <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Higher percentages	97.4%	98.2%	-	98.7%	-	98.0%	-	99.2%	Yes	Yes
Educational settings inspected by Ofsted based on latest inspection reports <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Percentage 'Good or Better'	66.4%	68.0%	-	63.0%	70.0%	-	-	69.0%	Yes	-
	Percentage 'Requiring Improvement'	33.0%	27.0%	-	30.0%	26.0%	-	-	28.0%	Yes	-
	Percentage 'Inadequate'	1.3%	4.0%	-	2.0%	4.0%	-	-	3.0%	Same	-
<b>13. Operational Objective - Closing the gap</b> <i>Closing the gap in attainment and skills between disadvantaged groups and their peers</i>											
NI 102 a Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS2 <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Lower percentages	17.0%	12.0%	-	-	13.0%	7.0%	16.9% (2010/11 - LAIT)	17.0%	No	No
NI 102 b Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS4 <b>(Gill Ellis)</b>	Lower percentages	25.0%	26.0%	-	-	27.4%	18.0%	23.6% (2010/11 - LAIT)	26.4%	No	No
NI 104 The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap – achieving KS2 English and Maths threshold <b>(Mirth Parker)</b>	Lower percentages	48.6%	42.0%	-	-	45.0%	-	50.0% (2011/12) (East Mids)	49.0% (2011/12 - SFR)	No	-
NI 105 The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap – achieving 5 A*-C GCSE inc. English and Maths <b>(Mirth Parker)</b>	Lower percentages	46.7%	46.6%	-	-	47.0%	-	48.0% (2011/12) (East Mids)	47.0% (2011/12 - SFR)	No	-
<b>14. Operational Objective - 14-19 reform</b> <i>Preparing for significant changes for this age group, with a new 14-19 strategy and the raising of school leaving age</i>											
NI 079 Achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Higher percentages	68.4%	70.9%	-	-	Due late March	70.5% (academic year 2010/11)	-	83.8% (2010/11)	-	-
NI 080 Achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Higher percentages	39.7%	43.0%	-	-	Due late March	41.9% (academic year 2009/10)	-	56.7% (2010/11)	-	-
NI 081 Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 (Attainment figure shown) <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Lower percentages	22.0%	21.0%	-	-	Due late March	-	-	25.0% (2010/11)	-	-
NI 082 - Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 (Attainment figure shown) <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Higher percentages (This actually measures the % achieving who were on FSM)	53.0%	57.0%	-	-	Due late March	-	-	65.0% (2010/11)	-	-
<b>5. Strategic Objective - Economic well-being</b> <i>Child poverty will be significantly reduced</i>											
<b>15. Operational Objective - Tackling child poverty</b> <i>Tackling worklessness and supporting adults to gain Level 2 skills or higher so they are able to progress in work and earn more</i>											
NI 151 Overall employment rate (working age) <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Higher percentages	54.3%	56.8%	-	-	61.3%	-	-	70.7%	Yes	-
NI 152 Working age people on out of work benefits <b>(John Yarham)</b>	Lower percentages	16.9%	15.8%	17.7%	17.3%	17.3%	-	-	11.6%	No	-

Description	Good Performance	Outturn 2010/11	Outturn 2011/12	Q1 YTD 2012/13	Q2 YTD 2012/13	Q3 YTD 2012/13	Target	Statistical Neighbour	England	Better than Previous Year	Target Met / On Target
NI 163 Working age population qualified to Level 2 or higher (John Yarham)	Higher percentages	61.1%	61.4%	-	-	66.7%	-	-	69.5%	Yes	-
NI 164 Working age population qualified to Level 3 or higher (John Yarham)	Higher percentages	47.5%	47.0%	-	-	49.7%	-	-	52.4%	Yes	-
NI 165 Working age population qualified to Level 4 or higher (John Yarham)	Higher percentages	23.0%	25.4%	-	-	29.1%	-	-	32.7%	Yes	-
18 to 24 year old JSA claimants (Job Centre Plus)	Lower numbers	3795	4550	4230	4055	3690	-	-	-	Yes	-
Lone Parent Income Support claimants (Job Centre Plus)	Lower numbers	5690	5215	5120	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	-
All JSA claimants (Job Centre Plus)	Lower numbers	12647	14677	14088	13769	13468	-	-	-	Yes	-
Number of job vacancies advertised (Job Centre Plus)	Higher numbers	1912	2398	4361	4183	3668	-	-	-	Yes	-

# Children's Partnership Board Performance Review (as at Q3 2012/13)

**Candida Brudenell**  
Corporate Director, Children and Families

# Q3 2012/13

1. Safeguarding services continued to show good performance
2. Family Support Strategy and Pathway continued to be implemented
3. Teenage Pregnancy rates continued to fall and ahead of 2020 target
4. Notable reductions in youth related crime
5. Educational attainment continued to rise across all key stages
6. NEET levels comparable with previous years and continued to buck national trends

# Q3 2012/13 Challenges

1. High demand for Social Care and Safeguarding services / High numbers of Children in Care / Timeliness of CiC being placed for adoption
2. Above average proportion of CYP with unhealthy weight
3. Partnership buy-in to CAF
4. School absence figures for primary and secondary ranked amongst the worst in the country
5. The Local Authority's ability to influence schools under the current educational system much diminished

## Q3 Headlines

### Achievement

Improved performance in Early Years, KS2 and KS4 attainment. Improved school attendance; however, Primary absence levels amongst worst in country.

### Safeguarding & EI

High levels of CiC and demand for social care services – the number of CPPs rose sharply. Numbers of CAFs initiated decreased compared to 2011/12. All Early Intervention work-streams on target to meet desired progress and within timescales. Priority Families project initiated.

### Strong families

Sustained improvement in the number of CiC cases reviewed within timescale. All SEN statements carried out to timescale. Improved levels of Care Leavers in suitable accommodation but those in ETE significantly reduced. Poor CiC KS4 attainment.

### Healthy & positive young people

One of the strongest reductions in first time entrants to youth justice system in the country due, in part, to restorative justice intervention. Slight increase in re-offending rates but still ahead of national trends. Teenage Pregnancy rates continued to fall and were ahead of targets. An extensive action plan was introduced to tackle Child Obesity with high levels of deprivation being a major factor.

### Economic well being

NEET rates showed encouraging signs of stability compared to the previous year. Overall employment rates in the City increased with more people qualified to Level 2 or higher.

Contact details:

**Candida Brudenell**

Corporate Director, Children and Families

Nottingham City Council

Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG

**Tel:** (0115) 87 63609

**Email:** [candida.brudenell@nottinghamcity](mailto:candida.brudenell@nottinghamcity)